

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/681,099 | 09/681,099 01/04/2001 | | Richard E. Vogel | 70254-328 8152 | |
| 20915 | 7590 | 06/20/2003 | | ļ | |
| MCGARRY | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 171 MONROE AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 600 | | | | MEREK, JOSEPH C | |
| GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | ,3727 DATE MAILED: 06720/2003 | 7.4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/681,099 | VOGEL ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Joseph C. Merek | 3727 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | opears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON | imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED _(35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> | <u> April 2003</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T | his action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32,34-38,42,43,45,46,52 and 62-</u> | 81 is/are nending in the applicatio | an | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | | vii. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | awii irom consideration. | | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) \(\times\) Claim(s) \(\frac{1-32}{34-38}\), \(\frac{42}{43}\), \(\frac{45}{46}\), \(\frac{52}{62-8}\) | 31 are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | epted or b) objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr | oved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in r | • • | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(| a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documer | nts have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documer | nts have been received in Applica | tion No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language parts)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/681,099

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

The final action dated 7/03/02 is hereby withdrawn and prosecution is reopened.

An election between inventions is required as set forth below.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-32, 34-38, and 62-80, drawn to a confection cup assembly, classified in class 220, subclass 4.03.
- II. Claims 52, 42, 43, 45, 46, and 81, drawn to sleeve for a confection cup, classified in class 220, subclass 676.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the independent combination claims do not require the friction enhancer on the sleeve as set forth in claim 52. Additionally, the independent combination claims do not have the hole in the bottom of the sleeve as set forth in claim 81. The subcombination has separate utility such as can be a container by itself such as pencil holder or a flowerpot.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction

Application/Control Number: 09/681,099

Art Unit: 3727

21

for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Upon election of group I above, further election is required as set forth below.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group I, friction enhancer is rubber;

Group II, friction enhancer is an annular rib;

Group III, friction enhancer is a longitudinal rib.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic. All the combination claims require a collar that is not part of the subcombination.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph C. Merek whose telephone number is (703) 305-0644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young can be reached on (703) 308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 308-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

June 16, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700